

November 26, 2025

The Executive Secretary Lands Commission P.O. Box CT. 5008 Cantonments – Accra

Dear Sir,

RE: PETITION AGAINST MR. BENJAMIN KWAME DANSO FOR ABUSE OF OFFICE, CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND INTERFERENCE

- We write as lawyers for and on behalf of Mr. Benjamin Kwame Danso (hereinafter called "our client") on whose instructions we write to you in respect of the above subject – matter.
- 2. The instruction of our client is that it has come to his notice that a letter dated November 20, 2025, was written to you by the Okplelor Sowah Din Family of Teshie and Nmai Dzorn. In that letter spurious, scandalous and unsubstantiated allegations have been leveled against our client.
- 3. Our client unequivocally rejects and denies all the allegations leveled against him and that the contents of the letter are actuated by malice and that explains why our client was deliberately not copied in the letter.
- 4. First of all, our client is a respected man of God, a respected politician and a very successful businessman. Our client is also a law-abiding citizen and has not had a brush with the law. All the affairs of our client are conducted in accordance with the laws of Ghana.
- 5. The instruction of our client is that he is an aide to the Nungua Stool. However, he has no role to play on matters concerning land. The stool has

- a designated committee that deals in land matters. Our client is not a member of the committee.
- 6. Our client completely rejects the allegation made against him to the effect that he purportedly led the Nungua Stool on July 31, 2025 to file an injunction application against the Okplelor Sowah Din Family of Teshie and Nmai Dzorn. Our client was unaware of such suit and therefore could not have led the Nungua Stool to file the suit. Again, there is no evidence adduced to show that our client is/was a party to the said suit. Furthermore, there is no evidence in terms of record of proceedings in the said suit which demonstrates that our client was in court when the injunction application was heard. In short, the allegation leveled against our client is bereft of any evidential value and that the allegation is predicated on conjecture and hearsay.
- 7. Furthermore, our client unequivocally rejects the allegation that he and his alleged associates purportedly filed a writ at the Tema High Court seeking an injunction application. Again, the allegation is a barefaced lie maliciously calculated to soil the reputation of our client. The instruction of our client is that he is unaware of any injunction application filed at the Tema High Court. There is no evidence to show that our client was a party to the alleged injunction application.
- 3. Now, in relation to the unfounded allegation made against our client to the effect that he has purportedly manipulated internal processes within the Commission to allegedly delay or block Okplelor Sowah Din Family of Teshie and Nmai Dzorn from registering their interest, our client with all the strength in him rejects this baseless allegation leveled against him. It is clear that the allegation made against our client is based on hearsay without more. No evidence has been adduced to support such a pathetic allegation.
- . In response to the allegation that our client allegedly influenced his way onto and got appointed by the new Government to serve on the Lands

Commission Board in order to purportedly protect his personal and conflicting interests, our client in strongest terms rejects such an ill-informed and malicious allegation. This allegation does not only seek to injure the reputation of our client but to question the integrity of the appointing authority and the Commission as well. Such an unruly conduct should not be countenanced. Our client's appointment was purely based on his competence and no other consideration.

- 10. Our client has not conducted himself in any manner amounting to interference with the administration of justice. If his accusers truly believe in their assertions, they know the proper forum to have such a grievance resolved. Furthermore, there is no evidence of our client conducting himself in any manner that threatens the integrity and credibility of the Lands Commission or interferes with land governance.
- 11. It is obvious that the petitioners have deep-seated dislike for our client and are willing to go to any length to destroy the hard-won reputation of our client and even not sparing the Lands Commission by impliedly questioning the integrity of the Lands Commission Board. It is also clear that they are using this petition as a backdoor to blackmail the Lands Commission into carrying out their registration.
- 12. For the foregoing we have the firm instructions of our client to request that the petition filed by the petitioners is rejected and dismissed in its entirety as baseless and one riddled with rumours and hearsay. If

13. We count on your cooperation.

Yours faithfully

Seth Nyaaba Esq

AVENE & PARTMERS
LEGAL PRACTITIONERS & CONSULTANTS
150 CLOSE LILY STREET, EAST LEGON

PIMB CT 57 TED: 030 254 209 2/3

CC;

1. Mr. Benjamin Kwame Danso

2. The Board Chairman, Lands Commission